Heterotic Solutions with G_2 and Spin(7) Structures

Kazuki Hinoue*, Yukinori Yasui†

Department of Mathematics and Physics,

Graduate School of Science, Osaka City University, Osaka, Japan

We study supersymmetric solutions in 7- and 8-dimensional Abelian heterotic supergravity theories. In dimension 7, the solutions are described by G_2 with torsion equations. When a G_2 manifold has principal orbits $S^3 \times S^3$, the equations are reduced to ordinary differential equations with four radial functions. For these equations we obtain explicit ALC metrics with S^3-bolt and $T^{1,1}$-bolt singularities. In dimension 8, we study supersymmetric solutions to $Spin(7)$ with torsion equations associated with 3-Sasakian manifolds by using a similar method to the case G_2.

arXiv:1410.7700v1 [hep-th] 28 Oct 2014

I. INTRODUCTION

The supersymmetric equations of supergravity theory are expressed as Killing spinor equations. Specifically, the geometry of a Neveu–Schwarz(NS-NS) sector in type II supergravity theory and an NS sector in heterotic supergravity theory can be described in terms of G-structures. The use of G-structures rehashes the supersymmetric equations as equations rewritten by means of differential forms. A G-structure has provided us with a technique for constructing supersymmetric solutions for these theories[1][2].

In this paper, we study supersymmetric solutions in 7- and 8-dimensional Abelian heterotic supergravity theories, where a G_2-structure on 7-dimensional manifolds and a $Spin(7)$-structure on 8-dimensional manifolds play an important role. The space of intrinsic torsion can be decomposed into the irreducible components associated with representations of G_2 or $Spin(7)$. The classification of G_2- or $Spin(7)$-structures is given by all possible combinations of these irreducible components. The different classes of these structures are characterized via the differential equations of their G-invariant forms. The equations of the specific classes associated with supergravity theories give the necessary and sufficient conditions for supersymmetry preservation in the theories[3][4]. If there exist G-invariant forms satisfying the conditions, then they solve the equations of motion of common sectors in type II and heterotic supergravity theory[5][6].

* E-mail: hinoue@sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp
† E-mail: yasui@sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp

When G_2-invariant 3-form Ω satisfies the condition

$$d\Omega = 0 \ , \ d * \Omega = 0 \, , \tag{1}$$

the corresponding G_2 metric is a Ricci-flat metric with G_2 holonomy[7], which is obtained by solving the first order equations (1). For the principal orbits $\boldsymbol{CP}(3)$ or $S^3 \times S^3$, the G_2 metrics have been studied[2][8][9][10][11]. Imposed on a cohomogeneity one ansatz, equations (1) reduce to ordinary differential equations. These equations were explicitly solved and asymptotically conical(AC) metrics[8][9] and asymptotically locally conical(ALC) metrics[2][10][11] were constructed. Similarly, the $Spin(7)$-structure is given by the condition

$$d\Psi = 0 \, , \tag{2}$$

where Ψ is a $Spin(7)$-invariant 4-form which satisfies $*\Psi = \Psi$ [12]. The corresponding metrics with $Spin(7)$ holonomy are obtained in a similar method to the G_2 metrics. For $Sp(2)/Sp(1)$ or $SU(3)/U(1)$ principal orbits, the $Spin(7)$ metrics have been studied[10][11][13][14][15][16].

By solving the defining equations for the G_2-structure in the class $W_7 \oplus W_{27}$, supersymmetric solutions of the form $\boldsymbol{R}^{1,2} \times M_7$ in type II supergravity theory were constructed[1], where the flux H and the dilaton Φ were identified with 3-form torsion and Lee form, respectively. Similar to these solutions, we will solve the defining equations of the G_2 or $Spin(7)$-structure in the associated class with Abelian heterotic supergravity theory to obtain supersymmetric solutions. Unlike type II supergravity theory, Abelian heterotic supergravity theory additionally has a $U(1)$ gauge field. However, the field strength of the $U(1)$ gauge field is determined algebraically and thus we will use a similar method to derive the supersymmetric solutions in Abelian heterotic theory. This will lead us to solutions in heterotic supergravity theory by recovering α' collection and using modified standard embedding[17]. Solutions that would be helpful in studying heterotic gauge fivebranes wrapping associative three cycles are expected[1]. Thus, we will construct the supersymmetric solutions in Abelian heterotic theory.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. We begin section 2 with a short explanation about Abelian heterotic supergravity theory. We also review a G_2-structure associated with the heterotic supergravity theory. In section 3, we study the G_2 with torsion equations on cohomogeneity one manifold $\boldsymbol{R}_+ \times S^3 \times S^3$ and derive the supersymmetric solutions for the resulting ordinary differential equations. In section 4, we review a $Spin(7)$-structure associated with the heterotic supergravity theory and derive the $Spin(7)$ with torsion equations on 8-dimensional manifold $\boldsymbol{R}_+ \times P$, where P is a 3-Sasakian manifold. The supersymmetric solutions are briefly discussed by using a similar method to the G_2 case. The final section 5 is devoted to conclusion.

II. G_2-STRUCTURE ASSOCIATED WITH SUPERGRAVITY

In this section, we review a G_2-structure related with 7-dimensional Abelian heterotic supergravity theory. We first explain Abelian heterotic supergravity theory shortly. Next, we introduce the G_2-structure and derive the fundamental equations describing supersymmetric equations.

A. Abelian heterotic supergravity

Let us consider the low energy effective theory with an α' expansion of heterotic string theory. In this theory restricting the gauge group to $U(1)$ and setting $\alpha' = 1$, one obtains 10-dimensional Abelian heterotic supergravity theory. This system consists of a metric g, dilaton Φ, $U(1)$ gauge field A and B field. Then the string frame Lagrangian is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = e^{-\Phi}\left(R*1 + *d\Phi\wedge d\Phi - *F\wedge F - \frac{1}{2}*H\wedge H\right), \tag{3}$$

where R represents a scalar curvature and $F = dA$. The 3-form flux H is given by $H = dB + A\wedge dA$, which satisfies the Bianchi identity

$$dH = F\wedge F. \tag{4}$$

In addition, $*$ represents the Hodge dual operator associated with the metric g. The equations of motion are written as

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{\mu\nu} &= -\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\Phi + F_\mu{}^\rho F_{\nu\rho} + \frac{1}{4}H_\mu{}^{\rho\sigma}H_{\nu\rho\sigma}\,, && (5)\\
\nabla^2 e^{-\Phi} &= \frac{1}{2}e^{-\Phi}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{6}e^{-\Phi}H_{\mu\nu\rho}H^{\mu\nu\rho}\,, && (6)\\
d(e^{-\Phi}*F) &= -e^{-\Phi}*H\wedge F\,, && (7)\\
d(e^{-\Phi}*H) &= 0 && (8)
\end{aligned}$$

and the corresponding supersymmetric equations are

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla^T_\mu\chi \equiv \left(\nabla_\mu + \frac{1}{4\cdot 2!}H_{\mu\nu\rho}\gamma^{\nu\rho}\right)\chi = 0\,, && (9)\\
(\partial_\mu\Phi)\gamma^\mu\chi + \frac{1}{3!}H_{\mu\nu\rho}\gamma^{\mu\nu\rho}\chi = 0\,, && (10)\\
F_{\mu\nu}\gamma^{\mu\nu}\chi = 0\,, && (11)
\end{aligned}$$

where χ denotes a Killing spinor. These equations (9), (10) and (11) are derived from the supersymmetry variation of gravitino, dilatino and gaugino, respectively.

It is known that if the fields satisfy the supersymmetric equations and Bianchi identity, they automatically solve the equations of motion [5][6]. In this paper, we shall construct supersymmetric solutions in the heterotic theory. For this purpose, we consider 7- and 8-dimensional manifolds M_n admitting G_2 and $Spin(7)$ structures [1]. The corresponding 10-dimensional spacetimes are assumed to be of the form $\boldsymbol{R}^{1,9-n} \times M_n$ $(n = 7, 8)$ where the fields are non-trivial only on M_n.

B. G_2-structure

A G_2-structure over M_7 is a principal subbundle with fiber G_2 of the frame bundle $\mathcal{F}(M_7)$ [18]. There is a one to one correspondence between G_2 structures and G_2 invariant 3-forms Ω. The standard form of Ω is given by

$$\Omega = e^{123} + e^{147} + e^{165} + e^{246} + e^{257} + e^{354} + e^{367}\,, \tag{12}$$

where e^μ $(\mu = 1, \cdots, 7)$ is an orthonormal frame of the metric, $g = \sum_{\mu=1}^{7} e^\mu \otimes e^\mu$ and $e^{\mu\nu\rho} = e^\mu \wedge e^\nu \wedge e^\rho$.

We consider the G_2-structure Ω associated with heterotic supergravity. According to [19], we require

$$d * \Omega \;=\; \Theta \wedge *\Omega\,, \tag{13}$$

where Θ is the Lee form defined by

$$\Theta = -\frac{1}{3} * (*d\Omega \wedge \Omega)\,. \tag{14}$$

Then we have a unique connection ∇^T preserving the G_2-structure with 3-form torsion[5][19][20]

$$T = \frac{1}{6} * (d\Omega \wedge \Omega)\Omega - *\,(d\Omega - \Theta \wedge \Omega)\;, \tag{15}$$

i.e. $\nabla^T\Omega = \nabla^T g = 0$. This structure belongs to the class $W_1 \oplus W_7 \oplus W_{27}$ in the classification by Fernández and Gray [7](see also [21]). It was shown in the papers [19],[20] that there exists a non-trivial solution to both dilatino and gravitino Killing spinor equations in dimension 7 if and only if there exists a G_2-structure satisfying (13) and

$$d\Omega \wedge \Omega \;=\; 0 \tag{16}$$

[1] For 6-dimensional case, supersymmetric solutions of Abelian heterotic theory were constructed in the view of supergravity solution generating method [17].

together with an exact Lee form Θ. Here (16) yields that this G_2-structure is in the class $W_7 \oplus W_{27}$. If $\Theta = 0$ and $T = 0$ one can find $d\Omega = 0$ and $d * \Omega = 0$, which are equivalent to $\nabla\Omega = 0$ and the corresponding metric is a Ricci-flat metric with G_2 holonomy. Now, we have the following identification

$$H = T\,, \tag{17}$$

$$d\Phi = \Theta\,. \tag{18}$$

Thus we obtain

$$H = -e^{\Phi} * d(e^{-\Phi}\Omega)\,, \quad d\Phi = -\frac{1}{3} * (*d\Omega \wedge \Omega)\,, \tag{19}$$

which means vanishing of supersymmetry variations for gravitino and dilatino, respectively. In addition, the supersymmetry variation for gaugino requires the generalized self-dual condition on the field strength F,

$$*\,(\Omega \wedge F) = F\,. \tag{20}$$

In general, 2-forms on M_7 are decomposed into G_2 irreducible representations under action of G_2,

$$\wedge^2_7 \;=\; \{\alpha \in \wedge^2 \,:\, *(\Omega \wedge \alpha) = -2\alpha\}\,, \tag{21}$$

$$\wedge^2_{14} \;=\; \{\alpha \in \wedge^2 \,:\, *(\Omega \wedge \alpha) = \alpha\}\,, \tag{22}$$

where subscripts $7, 14$ of $\wedge^2$ are dimensions of the representation. Thus the field strength F in (20) is in $\wedge^2_{14}$. The Bianchi identity (4) gives a relation between T and F, which leads to a strong restriction for the field strength F.

In summary, supersymmetric equations associated with Abelian heterotic supergravity theory are given by

$$e^{\Phi} d(e^{-\Phi} * \Omega) = 0\,, \tag{23}$$

$$d\Omega \wedge \Omega = 0\,, \tag{24}$$

$$H = -e^{\Phi} * d(e^{-\Phi}\Omega)\,, \tag{25}$$

$$d\Phi = -\frac{1}{3} * (*d\Omega \wedge \Omega)\,, \tag{26}$$

$$*(\Omega \wedge F) = F\,, \tag{27}$$

$$dH = F \wedge F\,. \tag{28}$$

The first equation is derived from (13) and (18) easily. In the following we call these equations G_2 with torsion equations or simply G_2T equations. Thus it is enough to solve G_2T equations so as

to construct supersymmetric solutions.

III. G_2 SOLUTIONS IN 7-DIMENSIONAL ABELIAN HETEROTIC SUPERGRAVITY

In this section, we study G_2T equations under cohomogeneity one ansatz. Then these equations are reduced to first order non-linear ordinary differential equations. We solve reduced equations numerically and also try to construct analytically solutions for these equations.

A. Cohomogeneity one ansatz

The G_2T equations can be reduced to ordinary differential equations under cohomogeneity one ansatz. A G-manifold is called cohomogeneity one manifold if the principal orbits are hypersurfaces. It is known that cohomogeneity one manifolds admitting G_2-structures are classified into seven types [22]. In this paper we will consider the case when the principal orbits are $S^3 \times S^3$. Then the manifold is locally $\boldsymbol{R}_+ \times S^3 \times S^3$. Similar analysis can be done for the other orbits.

We consider the following metric with six radial functions $a_i(t), b_i(t)$ $(i = 1, 2, 3)$

$$g = dt^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i(t)^2(\sigma_i - \Sigma_i)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{3} b_i(t)^2(\sigma_i + \Sigma_i)^2 \,, \tag{29}$$

where σ_i and Σ_i are left invariant 1-forms on two SU(2) group manifolds which satisfy the relations

$$\begin{aligned} d\sigma_1 &= -\sigma_2 \wedge \sigma_3 \,,\ d\sigma_2 = -\sigma_3 \wedge \sigma_1 \,,\ d\sigma_3 = -\sigma_1 \wedge \sigma_2 \,, \\ d\Sigma_1 &= -\Sigma_2 \wedge \Sigma_3 \,,\ d\Sigma_2 = -\Sigma_3 \wedge \Sigma_1 \,,\ d\Sigma_3 = -\Sigma_1 \wedge \Sigma_2 \,. \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

It is convenient to introduce an orthonormal frame e^μ $(\mu = 1, \cdots, 7)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} e^1 &= a_1(t)(\sigma_1 - \Sigma_1) \,,\ e^2 = a_2(t)(\sigma_2 - \Sigma_2) \,,\ e^3 = a_3(t)(\sigma_3 - \Sigma_3) \,, \\ e^4 &= b_1(t)(\sigma_1 + \Sigma_1) \,,\ e^5 = b_2(t)(\sigma_2 + \Sigma_2) \,,\ e^6 = b_3(t)(\sigma_3 + \Sigma_3) \,,\ e^7 = dt \,. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Then the G_2-structure Ω takes the form (12), which automatically satisfy (24). The dilaton Φ is explicitly calculated from (26),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\Phi}{dt} = -\frac{1}{3}\Bigg(& -\frac{2}{a_1}\frac{da_1}{dt} - \frac{2}{a_2}\frac{da_2}{dt} - \frac{2}{a_3}\frac{da_3}{dt} - \frac{2}{b_1}\frac{db_1}{dt} - \frac{2}{b_2}\frac{db_2}{dt} - \frac{2}{b_3}\frac{db_3}{dt} \\ & + \frac{a_1}{2a_2b_3} + \frac{a_1}{2a_3b_2} + \frac{a_2}{2a_3b_1} + \frac{a_2}{2a_1b_3} + \frac{a_3}{2a_1b_2} + \frac{a_3}{2a_2b_1} \\ & + \frac{b_1}{2a_2a_3} - \frac{b_1}{2b_2b_3} + \frac{b_2}{2a_1a_3} - \frac{b_2}{2b_1b_3} + \frac{b_3}{2a_1a_2} - \frac{b_3}{2b_1b_2} \Bigg) \,. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

The 3-form flux $H = H_{126}e^{126} + H_{456}e^{456} + H_{135}e^{135} + H_{234}e^{234}$ is also calculated from (25),

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{126} &= \frac{1}{a_3}\frac{da_3}{dt} + \frac{1}{b_1}\frac{db_1}{dt} + \frac{1}{b_2}\frac{db_2}{dt} - \frac{a_1}{2a_3b_2} - \frac{a_2}{2a_3b_1} + \frac{b_3}{2b_1b_2} - \frac{d\Phi}{dt}\,, \\
H_{456} &= -\frac{1}{a_1}\frac{da_1}{dt} - \frac{1}{a_2}\frac{da_2}{dt} - \frac{1}{a_3}\frac{da_3}{dt} + \frac{b_1}{2a_2a_3} + \frac{b_2}{2a_1a_3} + \frac{b_3}{2a_1a_2} + \frac{d\Phi}{dt}\,, \\
H_{135} &= -\frac{1}{a_2}\frac{da_2}{dt} - \frac{1}{b_1}\frac{db_1}{dt} - \frac{1}{b_3}\frac{db_3}{dt} + \frac{a_1}{2a_2b_3} - \frac{b_2}{2b_1b_3} + \frac{a_3}{2a_2b_1} + \frac{d\Phi}{dt}\,, \\
H_{234} &= \frac{1}{a_1}\frac{da_1}{dt} + \frac{1}{b_2}\frac{db_2}{dt} + \frac{1}{b_3}\frac{db_3}{dt} + \frac{b_1}{2b_2b_3} - \frac{a_2}{2a_1b_3} - \frac{a_3}{2a_1b_2} - \frac{d\Phi}{dt}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

which clearly depend on only t.

One may think that the ansatz (29) is artificial. However the ansatz (29) is reasonable from the view of a half-flat structure. A G_2 structure and 7-dimensional metric on $\boldsymbol{R}_+ \times S^3 \times S^3$ are given by a one-parameter family of a half-flat structure on $S^3 \times S^3$ [23]. A half-flat structure is one of $SU(3)$-structures, which is characterized by a pair (κ, γ), where κ is a symplectic form on $S^3 \times S^3$ and γ is a real 3-form whose stabiliser is isomorphic to $SL(3;\boldsymbol{C})$ and satisfies a condition $\gamma \wedge \kappa = 0$. If (κ, γ) satisfies the defining equation

$$d(\kappa \wedge \kappa) = 0\ ,\ d\gamma = 0, \tag{34}$$

then the $SU(3)$-structure is called a half-flat structure[24]. Indeed the following half-flat pair (κ, γ),

$$\kappa = p_1\sigma_1 \wedge \Sigma_1 + p_2\sigma_2 \wedge \Sigma_2 + p_3\sigma_3 \wedge \Sigma_3\,, \tag{35}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma &= q_1\sigma_1 \wedge \sigma_2 \wedge \sigma_3 + q_2\Sigma_1 \wedge \Sigma_2 \wedge \Sigma_3 + q_3(\sigma_2 \wedge \sigma_3 \wedge \Sigma_1 - \sigma_1 \wedge \Sigma_2 \wedge \Sigma_3) \\
&\quad + q_4(\sigma_3 \wedge \sigma_1 \wedge \Sigma_1 - \sigma_2 \wedge \Sigma_3 \wedge \Sigma_1) + q_5(\sigma_1 \wedge \sigma_2 \wedge \Sigma_3 - \sigma_3 \wedge \Sigma_1 \wedge \Sigma_2)
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

leads to the six dimensional part of the metric (29) under certain parameters p_i and q_j.

The field strength F is restricted by (27) and (28). Actually, we find F is classified into seven types,

$$\begin{aligned}
&F_{12}e^{12} + F_{45}e^{45} + F_{67}e^{67}\ ,\ F_{13}e^{13} + F_{46}e^{46} + F_{57}e^{57}\,, \\
&F_{23}e^{23} + F_{47}e^{47} + F_{56}e^{56}\ ,\ F_{14}e^{14} + F_{25}e^{25} + F_{36}e^{36}\,, \\
&F_{15}e^{15} + F_{24}e^{24} + F_{37}e^{37}\ ,\ F_{16}e^{16} + F_{27}e^{27} + F_{34}e^{34}\,, \\
&F_{17}e^{17} + F_{26}e^{26} + F_{35}e^{35}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

together with generalized self-dual relations

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{13} - F_{46} &= F_{57}\ ,\ F_{56} - F_{23} = F_{47}\ ,\ F_{45} - F_{12} = F_{67}\,, \\
F_{36} - F_{14} &= F_{25}\ ,\ F_{24} - F_{15} = F_{37}\ ,\ F_{16} - F_{27} = F_{34}\ ,\ F_{35} - F_{26} = F_{17}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Let us restrict ourselves to the case

$$F = F_{12}e^{12} + F_{45}e^{45} + F_{67}e^{67}\,. \tag{39}$$

Without loss of generality this case can be selected because other cases are obtained by using discrete symmetries of G_2T equations [2]. For exmaple, G_2T equations are invariant under the following transformation,

$$\begin{aligned}
&F_{12} \longrightarrow F_{23}\ ,\ F_{45} \longrightarrow F_{56}\ ,\ F_{67} \longrightarrow F_{47}\,,\\
&a_1 \longrightarrow a_2\ ,\ a_2 \longrightarrow a_3\ ,\ a_3 \longrightarrow a_1\ ,\ b_1 \longrightarrow b_2\ ,\ b_2 \longrightarrow b_3\ ,\ b_3 \longrightarrow b_1\,,\\
&H_{126} \longrightarrow H_{234}\ ,\ H_{135} \longrightarrow -H_{126}\ ,\ H_{456} \longrightarrow H_{456}\ ,\ H_{234} \longrightarrow -H_{135}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

We have already written down (24), (25), (26) and (27) under the cohomogeneity one ansatz. The remaining G_2T equation we should solve are (23) and (28). The former is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{a_1}\frac{da_1}{dt} + \frac{1}{a_2}\frac{da_2}{dt} + \frac{1}{b_1}\frac{db_1}{dt} + \frac{1}{b_2}\frac{db_2}{dt} - \frac{b_3}{2a_1a_2} - \frac{a_3}{2a_1b_2} - \frac{a_3}{2a_2b_1} + \frac{b_3}{2b_1b_2} - \frac{d\Phi}{dt} = 0\,,\\
&\frac{1}{a_1}\frac{da_1}{dt} + \frac{1}{a_3}\frac{da_3}{dt} + \frac{1}{b_1}\frac{db_1}{dt} + \frac{1}{b_3}\frac{db_3}{dt} - \frac{b_2}{2a_1a_3} - \frac{a_2}{2a_1b_3} + \frac{b_2}{2b_1b_3} - \frac{a_2}{2a_3b_1} - \frac{d\Phi}{dt} = 0\,,\\
&\frac{1}{a_2}\frac{da_2}{dt} + \frac{1}{a_3}\frac{da_3}{dt} + \frac{1}{b_2}\frac{db_2}{dt} + \frac{1}{b_3}\frac{db_3}{dt} - \frac{b_1}{2a_2a_3} + \frac{b_1}{2b_2b_3} - \frac{a_1}{2a_2b_3} - \frac{a_1}{2a_3b_2} - \frac{d\Phi}{dt} = 0\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

and the latter is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{dH_{456}}{dt} + H_{456}\left(\frac{1}{b_1}\frac{db_1}{dt} + \frac{1}{b_2}\frac{db_2}{dt} + \frac{1}{b_3}\frac{db_3}{dt}\right) = -2F_{45}F_{67}\,,\\
&\frac{dH_{126}}{dt} + H_{126}\left(\frac{1}{a_1}\frac{da_1}{dt} + \frac{1}{a_2}\frac{da_2}{dt} + \frac{1}{b_3}\frac{db_3}{dt}\right) = -2F_{12}F_{67}\,,\\
&\frac{dH_{135}}{dt} + H_{135}\left(\frac{1}{a_1}\frac{da_1}{dt} + \frac{1}{a_3}\frac{da_3}{dt} + \frac{1}{b_2}\frac{db_2}{dt}\right) = 0\,,\\
&\frac{dH_{234}}{dt} + H_{234}\left(\frac{1}{a_2}\frac{da_2}{dt} + \frac{1}{a_3}\frac{da_3}{dt} + \frac{1}{b_1}\frac{db_1}{dt}\right) = 0\,,\\
&H_{126}\frac{a_1}{2a_3b_2} - H_{456}\frac{b_1}{2a_2a_3} - H_{135}\frac{a_1}{2a_2b_3} - H_{234}\frac{b_1}{2b_2b_3} = 0\,,\\
&H_{126}\frac{a_2}{2a_3b_1} - H_{456}\frac{b_2}{2a_1a_3} + H_{135}\frac{b_2}{2b_1b_3} + H_{234}\frac{a_2}{2a_1b_3} = 0\,,\\
&H_{126}\frac{b_3}{2b_1b_2} + H_{456}\frac{b_3}{2a_1a_2} + H_{135}\frac{a_3}{2a_2b_1} - H_{234}\frac{a_3}{2a_1b_2} = -2F_{12}F_{45}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

which consist of differential equations and algebraic equations. The algebraic equations yields the

[2] The field strength $F = F_{14}e^{14} + F_{25}e^{25} + F_{36}e^{36}$ is exceptional. In this case, G_2T equations lead to the solution $F = 0$, which was studied in the paper[1].

following relations,

$$\begin{aligned} H_{456} &= \frac{a_1 a_2}{b_1 b_2} H_{126}\ ,\ H_{234} = -\frac{a_1 b_2}{a_2 b_1} H_{135}\,, \\ F_{12} &= \pm\sqrt{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{b_3}{a_1 a_2} H_{126} + \frac{a_3 b_2}{a_1 {a_2}^2} H_{135}\right)}\,, \\ F_{45} &= \frac{a_1 a_2}{b_1 b_2} F_{12}\ ,\ F_{67} = F_{45} - F_{12} \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

and a_i, b_i, H_{126} and H_{135} are determined by the differential equations (33), (41) and (42) :

$$\frac{da_1}{dt} = \frac{-{a_1}^2 + {a_2}^2 + {b_3}^2}{4a_2 b_3} + \frac{-{a_1}^2 + {a_3}^2 + {b_2}^2}{4a_3 b_2} - \frac{1}{2} a_1 H_{126} + \frac{1}{2} a_1 H_{135}\,, \tag{44}$$

$$\frac{da_2}{dt} = \frac{-{a_2}^2 + {a_1}^2 + {b_3}^2}{4a_1 b_3} + \frac{-{a_2}^2 + {a_3}^2 + {b_1}^2}{4a_3 b_1} - \frac{1}{2} a_2 H_{126} - \frac{1}{2} a_2 H_{234}\,, \tag{45}$$

$$\frac{da_3}{dt} = \frac{-{a_3}^2 + {a_2}^2 + {b_1}^2}{4a_2 b_1} + \frac{-{a_3}^2 + {a_1}^2 + {b_2}^2}{4a_1 b_2} + \frac{1}{2} a_3 H_{135} - \frac{1}{2} a_3 H_{234}\,, \tag{46}$$

$$\frac{db_1}{dt} = \frac{-{b_1}^2 + {a_2}^2 + {a_3}^2}{4a_2 a_3} + \frac{{b_1}^2 - {b_2}^2 - {b_3}^2}{4b_2 b_3} + \frac{1}{2} b_1 H_{456} - \frac{1}{2} b_1 H_{234}\,, \tag{47}$$

$$\frac{db_2}{dt} = \frac{-{b_2}^2 + {a_1}^2 + {a_3}^2}{4a_3 a_1} + \frac{{b_2}^2 - {b_1}^2 - {b_3}^2}{4b_3 b_1} + \frac{1}{2} b_2 H_{456} + \frac{1}{2} b_2 H_{135}\,, \tag{48}$$

$$\frac{db_3}{dt} = \frac{-{b_3}^2 + {a_1}^2 + {a_2}^2}{4a_1 a_2} + \frac{{b_3}^2 - {b_1}^2 - {b_2}^2}{4b_1 b_2} - \frac{1}{2} b_3 H_{126} + \frac{1}{2} b_3 H_{456}\,, \tag{49}$$

$$\frac{dH_{126}}{dt} + H_{126}\left(\frac{1}{a_1}\frac{da_1}{dt} + \frac{1}{a_2}\frac{da_2}{dt} + \frac{1}{b_3}\frac{db_3}{dt}\right) = \left(\frac{a_1 a_2}{b_1 b_2} - 1\right)\left(\frac{b_3}{a_1 a_2} H_{126} + \frac{a_3 b_2}{a_1 {a_2}^2} H_{135}\right)\,, \tag{50}$$

$$\frac{dH_{135}}{dt} + H_{135}\left(\frac{1}{a_1}\frac{da_1}{dt} + \frac{1}{a_3}\frac{da_3}{dt} + \frac{1}{b_2}\frac{db_2}{dt}\right) = 0\,. \tag{51}$$

Thus we have obtained G_2T equations (44)–(51) under the cohomogeneity one ansatz with principal orbits $S^3 \times S^3$. It should be noticed that dilaton is given by (32), which is equivalent to

$$\frac{d\Phi}{dt} = -H_{126} + H_{456} + H_{135} - H_{234}\,. \tag{52}$$

Note that it is automatically assured by (43)–(51) that the field strength F is closed two-form, $dF = 0$.

B. G_2T solutions

In order to construct regular metrics satisfying (44)–(51), we shall look for solutions with bolt singularities at $t = 0$. There exist two types of bolt singularity corresponding to a collapsing S^3 or S^1 at $t = 0$. In the torsion free case, i.e. $H_{\mu\nu\rho} = 0$, these equations were studied by Cvetič, Gibbons, Lü and Pope [10][11] and they found Ricci-flat metrics with G_2 holonmy. These equations are also obtained from $d\Omega = 0$ and $d * \Omega = 0$. Let us turn to non-zero flux. Although (44)–(51) admit a Taylor expansion around $t = 0$, numerical analysis shows that global solution doesn't exist for general six radial functions $a_i(t), b_i(t)$. Hence we study the reduced case,

$$a_1(t) = a_2(t) \equiv a(t) \ , \ b_1(t) = b_2(t) \equiv b(t). \tag{53}$$

Note that the Taylor expansion around $t = 0$ gives rise to $H_{135} = 0$.

By (53) and $H_{135} = 0$ the reduced system is given by

$$\frac{1}{a}\frac{da}{dt} = \frac{b}{4aa_3} + \frac{a_3}{4ab} - \frac{a}{4a_3b} + \frac{b_3}{4a^2} - \frac{1}{2}H_{126}\,, \tag{54}$$

$$\frac{1}{b}\frac{db}{dt} = -\frac{b}{4aa_3} + \frac{a_3}{4ab} + \frac{a}{4a_3b} - \frac{b_3}{4b^2} + \frac{a^2}{2b^2}H_{126}\,, \tag{55}$$

$$\frac{1}{a_3}\frac{da_3}{dt} = \frac{b}{2aa_3} - \frac{a_3}{2ab} + \frac{a}{2a_3b}\,, \tag{56}$$

$$\frac{1}{b_3}\frac{db_3}{dt} = -\frac{b_3}{4a^2} + \frac{b_3}{4b^2} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{a^2}{b^2} - 1\right)H_{126}\,, \tag{57}$$

$$\frac{dH_{126}}{dt} = -H_{126}\left[\frac{b^2 + a_3^2 - a^2}{2aa_3b} + \frac{b_3(5b^2 - 3a^2)}{4a^2b^2}\right] - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{a^2}{b^2} - 3\right)H_{126}^2\,, \tag{58}$$

$$\frac{d\Phi}{dt} = H_{126}\left(\frac{a^2}{b^2} - 1\right)\,. \tag{59}$$

Then, the algebraic equations (43) are written as

$$\begin{aligned} H_{456} &= \frac{a^2}{b^2}H_{126}\,, \\ F_{12} &= \pm\sqrt{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{b_3}{a^2}H_{126}\right)}\,, \\ F_{45} &= \frac{a^2}{b^2}F_{12}\,, \\ F_{67} &= F_{45} - F_{12}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

Now let us solve the equations (54)–(59). We put the form

$$b_3(t) = \frac{1}{h(t)}\beta_3(t)\,, \tag{61}$$

where the radial function $\beta_3(t)$ is defined as the solution to the ensuing equations,

$$\frac{1}{a}\frac{da}{dt} = \frac{b}{4aa_3} + \frac{a_3}{4ab} - \frac{a}{4a_3b} + \frac{\beta_3}{4a^2}\,, \tag{62}$$

$$\frac{1}{b}\frac{db}{dt} = -\frac{b}{4aa_3} + \frac{a_3}{4ab} + \frac{a}{4a_3b} - \frac{\beta_3}{4b^2}\,, \tag{63}$$

$$\frac{1}{a_3}\frac{da_3}{dt} = \frac{b}{2aa_3} - \frac{a_3}{2ab} + \frac{a}{2a_3b}\,, \tag{64}$$

$$\frac{1}{\beta_3}\frac{d\beta_3}{dt} = -\frac{\beta_3}{4a^2} + \frac{\beta_3}{4b^2}\,, \tag{65}$$

which are obtained by setting $H_{126} = 0$ in (54)–(59). The function $h(t)$ is determined as follows. From (54)–(57), (61)–(65), we find the relation

$$\frac{1}{h}\frac{dh}{dt} = -\frac{d\Phi}{dt}\,, \tag{66}$$

which yields

$$\frac{1}{\beta_3}\frac{d\beta_3}{dt} = \frac{1}{2(h-1)}\frac{dh}{dt}\,. \tag{67}$$

Thus we have

$$h(t) = k\beta_3(t)^2 + 1\,. \tag{68}$$

Then the relation (61) is given by

$$b_3(t) = \frac{1}{k\beta_3(t)^2 + 1}\beta_3(t) \tag{69}$$

and using (59), (66) and (68) leads to

$$H_{126} = -\frac{k{\beta_3}^3}{2a^2(k\beta_3^2 + 1)}\,. \tag{70}$$

For the positive constant k, the algebraic equations (60) give H_{456} and $F_{\mu\nu}$,

$$\begin{aligned} H_{456} &= -\frac{k{\beta_3}^3}{2b^2(k\beta_3^2+1)}\,,\ F_{12} = \pm\frac{\sqrt{k}{\beta_3}^2}{2a^2(k{\beta_3}^2+1)}\,, \\ F_{45} &= \pm\frac{\sqrt{k}{\beta_3}^2}{2b^2(k{\beta_3}^2+1)}\,,\ F_{67} = \pm\frac{\sqrt{k}{\beta_3}^2}{2(k{\beta_3}^2+1)}\left(-\frac{1}{a^2}+\frac{1}{b^2}\right)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

Thus, under the ansatz (61), solutions to (54)–(59) and (60) are written as

$$\begin{aligned} g &= dt^2 + a(t)^2\{(\sigma_1-\Sigma_1)^2 + (\sigma_2-\Sigma_2)^2\} + a_3(t)^2(\sigma_3-\Sigma_3)^2 \\ &\quad + b(t)^2\{(\sigma_1+\Sigma_1)^2 + (\sigma_2+\Sigma_2)^2\} + \frac{1}{(k{\beta_3(t)}^2+1)^2}\beta_3(t)^2(\sigma_3+\Sigma_3)^2\,, \end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

$$\Phi(t) = \log\frac{m}{k\beta_3(t)^2+1}\,, \tag{73}$$

$$H = -\frac{k\beta_3(t)^3}{2a(t)^2(k\beta_3(t)^2+1)}e^{126} - \frac{k\beta_3(t)^3}{2b(t)^2(k\beta_3(t)^2+1)}e^{456}\,, \tag{74}$$

$$F = \frac{\sqrt{k}\beta_3{}^2}{2a^2(k\beta_3{}^2+1)}e^{12} + \frac{\sqrt{k}\beta_3{}^2}{2b^2(k\beta_3{}^2+1)}e^{45} + \frac{\sqrt{k}\beta_3{}^2}{2(k\beta_3{}^2+1)}\left(-\frac{1}{a^2}+\frac{1}{b^2}\right)e^{67}\,, \tag{75}$$

where m is an arbitrary constant [3]. This formula includes additional one parameter m comparing to the formula obtained by $\mathrm{r}^{-1}\mathrm{Tr}$ transformation[17]. Now the problem of finding the solutions to G_2T equations reduced to that of finding the solutions to the torsion free equations(62)–(65). It should be noticed that the torsion free equations were investigated in the study of Ricci-flat metrics with G_2 holonomy [10]. It was proved[25] that there exist the solutions with a collapsing S^1 for the torsion free equations (62)–(65).

A regular solution to (62)–(65) representing a Ricci-flat metric with G_2 holonomy is known [2],

$$\begin{aligned} a_3(r) &= -\frac{1}{2}r\ ,\ a(r) = \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{3(r-l)(r+3l)}\,, \\ \beta_3(r) &= l\sqrt{\frac{r^2-9l^2}{r^2-l^2}}\ ,\ b(r) = -\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{3(r+l)(r-3l)}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

where r is defined by $dt = \frac{3}{2}\frac{l}{\beta_3}dr$ and l is a scaling parameter ($l > 0$). The corresponding solutions of G_2T equations are given by

$$\begin{aligned} g &= \frac{9}{4}\frac{r^2-l^2}{r^2-9l^2}dr^2 + \frac{3}{16}(r-l)(r+3l)\{(\sigma_1-\Sigma_1)^2+(\sigma_2-\Sigma_2)^2\} + \frac{1}{4}r^2(\sigma_3-\Sigma_3)^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{16}(r+l)(r-3l)\{(\sigma_1+\Sigma_1)^2+(\sigma_2+\Sigma_2)^2\} + \frac{l^2(r^2-9l^2)}{kl^2(r^2-9l^2)+r^2-l^2}(\sigma_3+\Sigma_3)^2\,, \\ H &= -\frac{8k}{3\left(1+k\frac{r^2-9l^2}{r^2-l^2}\right)}\sqrt{\frac{r^2-9l^2}{r^2-l^2}}\left[\frac{r-3l}{3(r-l)^2(r+l)}e^{126} + \frac{r+3l}{(r+l)^2(r-l)}e^{456}\right]\,, \\ F &= -\frac{8\sqrt{k}}{3\left(1+k\frac{r^2-9l^2}{r^2-l^2}\right)}\left[\frac{r-3l}{3(r-l)^2(r+l)}e^{12} + \frac{r+3l}{(r+l)^2(r-l)}e^{45} + \frac{2(r^2-3l^2)}{(r^2-l^2)^2}e^{67}\right]\,, \\ \Phi &= -m\log\left[1+k\frac{r^2-9l^2}{r^2-l^2}\right]\,. \end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

These solutions include two free parameters l and k [4]. When $k = 0$, the solution reduces to the Ricci-flat metric with G_2 holonomy. The parameter r is lager than $3l$ by a regular condition and the other parameter k represents deformation from the Ricci-flat metric.

The metric (77) is an ALC(Asymptotically Locally Conical) metric and the Riemannian tensor is non-singular in the region $3l \le r < \infty$. Further the scalar curvature R, $F^2 = \frac{1}{2}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$ and

[3] If we set $k = tan^2\delta$, $m = \frac{1}{cos^2\delta}$ and hence $m = k+1$, then these solutions are coincident with the solutions obtained by $\mathrm{r}^{-1}\mathrm{Tr}$ transformation in the paper [17]. When we take a limit $k \to \infty$, $m \to \infty$ keeping $\frac{k}{m} \to 1$, then δ is equal to $\frac{\pi}{2}$, which corresponds to T-dual transformation.

[4] For $m = k+1$, the equation (77) is also obtained by solution-generating method [17].

$H^2 = \frac{1}{6} H_{\mu\nu\rho} H^{\mu\nu\rho}$ are finite but their integrations diverge. The cause of the divergence comes from higher powers of r in the volume form, $\sqrt{g} \sim r^5$.

1. S^3-bolt solution

From now on, we explain the reason why we can put the relation (61). The boundary condition representing a collapsing S^3 at $t = 0$ imposes $b(t), b_3(t) \to 0$ for $t \to 0$. Indeed, the series solution around $t = 0$ takes the following form,

$$\begin{aligned}
a(t) &= p_1 + \frac{1}{16p_1^2}t^2 - \frac{7 - 64p_1^2 p_2}{2560p_1^3}t^4 + \cdots , \\
a_3(t) &= -p_1 - \frac{1}{16p_1^2}t^2 + \frac{6 + 128p_1^2 p_2}{2560p_1^3}t^4 + \cdots , \\
b(t) &= -\frac{1}{4}t + p_2 t^3 - \frac{1 + 1344p_1^2 p_2 - 98304p_1^4 p_2^2}{10240p_1^4}t^5 + \cdots , \\
b_3(t) &= \frac{1}{4}t + \frac{128p_1^2 p_2 + 128p_1^4 p_3 - 1}{64p_1^2}t^3 \\
&\quad - \frac{216p_1^2 p_2 - 16896p_1^4 p_2^2 + 240p_1^4 p_3 - 30720p_1^6 p_2 p_3 - 10240p_1^8 p_3^2 - 1}{640p_1^4}t^5 + \cdots , \\
H_{126}(t) &= p_3 t^3 + \left(8p_1^2 p_3^2 + 24p_2 p_3 - \frac{5p_3}{16p_1^2}\right)t^5 + \cdots ,
\end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

where p_1, p_2 and p_3 are free parameters. Then the metric behaves as

$$\begin{aligned}
g \;\to\; & dt^2 + p_1^2[(\sigma_1 - \Sigma_1)^2 + (\sigma_2 - \Sigma_2)^2 + (\sigma_3 - \Sigma_3)^2] \\
& + \frac{t^2}{16}[(\sigma_1 + \Sigma_1)^2 + (\sigma_2 + \Sigma_2)^2 + (\sigma_3 + \Sigma_3)^2] .
\end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

Thus the metric has a removable bolt singularity (S^3-bolt solution). The parameter p_1 is a scaling parameter and $p_1 = \frac{3}{2}l$ for the solutions (77), while p_2 parametrizes a family of the regular solutions to (62)–(65). The remaining parameter p_3 is essentially the deformation parameter k associated with 3-form flux (70),

$$p_3 = -\frac{k}{128p_1^2} . \tag{80}$$

Actually when $p_3 = 0$, the flux component $H_{126}(t)$ vanishes and the corresponding solution reduces to Ricci-flat metrics with a collapsing S^3 at $t = 0$ [10]. The radial functions $a(t)$, $b(t)$ and $a_3(t)$ are independent on p_3, which means that these functions are the same as those of the Ricci-flat metrics. On the other hand, $b_3(t)$ depends on p_3 so that this is different from $\beta_3(t)$ satisfying (62)–(65). Namely $b_3(t)$ is only deformed by the 3-form flux and hence we can take the form (61).

It should be noticed that we can obtain all S^3-bolt solutions of (54)–(59) from regular solutions of (62)–(65). In particular, the parameters $p_1 = \frac{3}{2}l$ and $p_2 = -\frac{1}{768p_1^2}$ correspond to the solutions (77). The numerical calculation requires the inequality of the parameter p_2 for each values of deformation parameter p_3 in order to extend the solution in large t region. For example when $p_1 = 1$ and $p_3 = -900$, the parameter p_2 is restricted by the inequality $-7.029 \times 10^3 \leq p_2 \leq 1.321 \times 10^{-3}$ (see Figure 1).



FIG. 1: The both figures show S^3-bolt solutions. The left figure is the solution with $p_1 = 1$, $-1.321 \times 10^{-3} \leq p_2 \leq 1.321 \times 10^{-3}$ and $p_3 = -900$ and the right figure is the solution with $p_1 = 1$, $-7.029 \times 10^3 \leq p_2 \leq -6.852 \times 10^3$ and $p_3 = -900$. The red, green, blue, orange and magenta lines represent components $a(t)$, $a_3(t)$, $b(t)$, b_3 and $H_{126}(t)$, respectively.

2. $T^{1,1}$-bolt solution

Regular solutions with a collapsing S^1 takes the following form around $t = 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
a(t) &= p_1 + \frac{p_2 - 2p_1^2 p_3}{8p_1} t - \frac{3p_2^2 - 16p_1^2 - 12p_1^2 p_2 p_3 + 12p_1^4 p_3^2}{128p_1^3} t^2 + \cdots , \\
a_3(t) &= t - \frac{16p_1^2 - p_2^2 + 4p_1^2 p_2 p_3 - 4p_1^4 p_3^2}{96p_1^4} t^3 + \cdots , \\
b(t) &= p_1 - \frac{p_2 - 2p_1^2 p_3}{8p_1} t - \frac{3p_2^2 - 16p_1^2 - 12p_1^2 p_2 p_3 + 12p_1^4 p_3^2}{128p_1^3} t^2 + \cdots , \\
b_3(t) &= p_2 + \frac{4p_2^3 - 16p_2 p_3^2}{64p_1^4} t^2 + \cdots , \\
H_{126}(t) &= p_3 + \frac{2p_1^2 p_3^2 - p_2 p_3}{4p_1^2} t - \frac{-9p_2^2 p_3 + 8p_1^2 p_3 + 28p_1^2 p_2 p_3^2 - 20p_1^4 p_3^3}{32p_1^4} t^2 + \cdots ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{81}
$$

where p_1, p_2 and p_3 are free parameters. Then the metric behaves as

$$g \longrightarrow dt^2 + t^2(\sigma_3 - \Sigma_3)^2 + p_1^2(\sigma_1^2 + \Sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 + \Sigma_2^2) + p_2^2(\sigma_3 + \Sigma_3)^2 \,. \tag{82}$$

When this metric has $T^{1,1}$-bolt, p_1 and p_2 have relation ([11])

$$p_2 = \pm\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}p_1 \,. \tag{83}$$

In the series (81), all components are deformed by the 3-form flux, which implies that the relation like (61) doesn't exist in the solution. A numerical analysis indicates that the series solution (81) is extended to large t region (see Figure 2) [5].



FIG. 2: The left figure shows a $T^{1,1}$-bolt solution with $p_1 = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}p_2$, $0 < p_2 < 12$ and $p_3 = -\frac{1}{288}$. The right figure shows a $T^{1,1}$-bolt solution with $p_1 = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}p_2$, $-12 < p_2 < 0$ and $p_3 = \frac{1}{288}$. The red, green, blue, orange and magenta lines represent components $a(t)$, $a_3(t)$, $b(t)$, b_3 and $H_{126}(t)$, respectively.

IV. $Spin(7)$ SOLUTIONS IN 8-DIMENSIONAL ABELIAN HETEROTIC SUPERGRAVITY

A. $Spin(7)$-structure

A $Spin(7)$-structure over M_8 is a principal subbundle with fiber $Spin(7)$ of the frame bundle $\mathcal{F}(M_8)$ [18]. There is a one to one correspondence between $Spin(7)$ structures and $Spin(7)$ invariant 4-forms Ψ. The standard form of Ψ is given by

$$\Psi = e^{8123} + e^{8145} + e^{8167} + e^{8264} + e^{8257} + e^{8347} + e^{8356} - e^{4567} - e^{2367} - e^{2345} - e^{3157} - e^{1346} - e^{1256} - e^{1247}, \tag{84}$$

[5] In the Ricci flat case, the existence of G_2 metrics with an collapsing S^1 was proved in [25].

where $\{e^\mu, \mu = 1, \cdots, 8\}$ is an orthonormal frame of the metric $g = \sum_{\mu=1}^{8} e^\mu \otimes e^\mu$. The 4-form Ψ is self-dual $*\Psi = \Psi$ for a volume form $vol = e^{12\cdots 8}$. The Lee form is defined by

$$\Theta = -\frac{1}{7} * (*d\Psi \wedge \Psi). \tag{85}$$

Then, there always exists a unique connection ∇^T preserving the $Spin(7)$ structure, $\nabla^T \Psi = \nabla^T g = 0$, with 3-form torsion [26]

$$T = *d\Psi - \frac{7}{6} * (\Theta \wedge \Psi). \tag{86}$$

This is different from the case of G_2, where we required an additional condition (13). However, except for this point we have very similar supersymmetry equations associated with Abelian heterotic supergravity. The Lee form is an exact 1-form, $\Theta = (6/7)d\Phi$, and hence after identification $T = H$ the equations are written as

$$H = e^{\Phi} * d(e^{-\Phi}\Psi)\,, \tag{87}$$

$$d\Phi = -\frac{1}{6} * (*d\Psi \wedge \Psi)\,, \tag{88}$$

$$*(\Psi \wedge F) = F\,, \tag{89}$$

$$dH = F \wedge F\,, \tag{90}$$

which correspond to G_2T equations. As with G_2, we call these equations $Spin(7)$ with torsion equations.

B. 3-Sasakian ansatz

In order to construct explicit solutions of $Spin(7)$ with torsion equations, we will consider a special case that gives rise to ordinary differential equations and generalizes many known examples. Let us assume the following geometrical condition for 7-dimensional manifolds. Let $\pi : P \to B$ be a principal SO(3)-bundle over a compact self-dual Einstein manifold (or orbifold) B. Then, it is known that the total space P admits a 3-Sasakian structure. For details on 3-Sasakian structure we refer the reader to [27]. The connection 1-forms ϕ^i $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ will be chosen so that the corresponding curvature 2-forms

$$\omega^i = d\phi^i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^{3} \epsilon_{ijk} \phi^j \wedge \phi^k \tag{91}$$

are self-dual 2-forms on B,

$$\omega^1 = \theta^{45} + \theta^{67}, \;\; \omega^2 = \theta^{64} + \theta^{57}, \;\; \omega^3 = \theta^{47} + \theta^{56}, \tag{92}$$

which implies

$$\omega^1 \wedge \omega^2 = \omega^1 \wedge \omega^3 = \omega^2 \wedge \omega^3 = 0 \ , \tag{93}$$

$$\omega^1 \wedge \omega^1 = \omega^2 \wedge \omega^2 = \omega^3 \wedge \omega^3 = 2vol_B \ , \tag{94}$$

$$vol_B = \theta^{4567} \quad \text{(volume form on } B\text{)} \tag{95}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega^1 &= \omega^2 \wedge \phi^3 - \phi^2 \wedge \omega^3 \, , \\ d\omega^2 &= \omega^3 \wedge \phi^1 - \phi^3 \wedge \omega^1 \, , \\ d\omega^3 &= \omega^1 \wedge \phi^2 - \phi^1 \wedge \omega^2 \, , \end{aligned} \tag{96}$$

On P the metric

$$g_S = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \phi^i \otimes \phi^i + \sum_{a=4}^{7} \theta^a \otimes \theta^a \tag{97}$$

is a 3-Sasakian metric. Indeed, the Killing vector fields ξ_i $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ dual to the 1-forms ϕ^i give the characteristic vector fields of the 3- Sasakian structure satisfying the relations $[\xi_i, \, \xi_j] = \epsilon_{ijk} \, \xi_k$.

Now we consider a $Spin(7)$ structure on an 8-dimensional manifold $M = \boldsymbol{R}_+ \times P$. Using the 3-Sasakian structure we consider the following metric with four radial functions $\{a_i(t)\} = \{a(t), \, b(t), \, c(t)\}$ and $f(t)$:

$$g = dt^2 + \sum_{i=1} a_i(t)^2 \phi^i \otimes \phi^i + f(t)^2 \sum_{a=4}^{7} \theta^a \otimes \theta^a. \tag{98}$$

For this metric an orthonormal frame e^μ $(\mu = 1, \cdots, 8)$ is introduced by

$$e^i = a_i(t)\phi^i, \quad e^a = f(t)\theta^a, \quad e^8 = dt. \tag{99}$$

Then the 1-forms e^μ lead to a $Spin(7)$ structure Ψ on $M = \boldsymbol{R}_+ \times P$ given by (84). In terms of ϕ^i, ω^i and vol_B we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi \ = \ & abc \, dt \wedge \phi^1 \wedge \phi^2 \wedge \phi^3 - f^4 vol_B + f^2(adt \wedge \phi^1 - bc\phi^2 \wedge \phi^3) \wedge \omega^1 \\ & + f^2(bdt \wedge \phi^2 - ac\phi^3 \wedge \phi^1) \wedge \omega^2 + f^2(cdt \wedge \phi^3 - ab\phi^1 \wedge \phi^2) \wedge \omega^3. \end{aligned} \tag{100}$$

A straightforward computation gives

$$d\Psi = \Psi_0 dt \wedge vol_B + \Psi_1 dt \wedge \phi^2 \wedge \phi^3 \wedge \omega^1 + \Psi_2 dt \wedge \phi^3 \wedge \phi^1 \wedge \omega^2 + \Psi_3 dt \wedge \phi^1 \wedge \phi^2 \wedge \omega^3, \tag{101}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_0 &= -4f^3\frac{df}{dt} - 2f^2(a+b+c),\\
\Psi_1 &= -abc + f^2a - f^2b - f^2c - 2bcf\frac{df}{dt} - f^2\frac{d(bc)}{dt},\\
\Psi_2 &= -abc - f^2a + f^2b - f^2c - 2acf\frac{df}{dt} - f^2\frac{d(ac)}{dt},\\
\Psi_3 &= -abc - f^2a - f^2b + f^2c - 2abf\frac{df}{dt} - f^2\frac{d(ab)}{dt}.
\end{aligned} \tag{102}$$

The Ricci-flat metrics with $Spin(7)$ holonomy satisfy the condition $d\Psi = 0$. In our case this is explicitly given by the following first-order differential equations [10]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{da}{dt} &= -\frac{a^2-(b-c)^2}{2bc} + \frac{a^2}{f^2},\\
\frac{db}{dt} &= -\frac{b^2-(c-a)^2}{2ac} + \frac{b^2}{f^2},\\
\frac{dc}{dt} &= -\frac{c^2-(a-b)^2}{2ab} + \frac{c^2}{f^2},\\
\frac{df}{dt} &= -\frac{a+b+c}{2f}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{103}$$

Now we turn to the $Spin(7)$ with torsion equations (87)–(90). The dilaton Φ is calculated as

$$\frac{d\Phi}{dt} = -\frac{1}{6f^2}\left(\frac{\Psi_0}{f^2} + \frac{2\Psi_1}{bc} + \frac{2\Psi_2}{ac} + \frac{2\Psi_3}{ab}\right) \tag{104}$$

and th 3-form flux is given by

$$H = H_\phi \phi^1\wedge\phi^2\wedge\phi^3 + \sum_{i=1}^{3} H_i\phi^i\wedge\omega^i, \tag{105}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
H_\phi &= -abc\left(\frac{\Psi_0}{f^4} + \frac{d\Phi}{dt}\right), \quad H_1 = -af^2\left(\frac{\Psi_1}{bcf^2} + \frac{d\Phi}{dt}\right),\\
H_2 &= -bf^2\left(\frac{\Psi_2}{acf^2} + \frac{d\Phi}{dt}\right), \quad H_3 = -cf^2\left(\frac{\Psi_3}{abf^2} + \frac{d\Phi}{dt}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{106}$$

If the field strength F takes the form,

$$F = \sum_{i=1}^{3} F_{ti}dt\wedge d\phi^i + \sum_{i=1}^{3} F_i\omega^i + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{3} F_{ij}\phi^i\wedge\phi^j\,, \tag{107}$$

then the generalized self-dual equation (89) yields

$$\frac{2F_1}{f^2} = -\frac{F_{23}}{bc} + \frac{F_{t1}}{a}, \qquad \frac{2F_2}{f^2} = -\frac{F_{31}}{ac} + \frac{F_{t2}}{b}, \qquad \frac{2F_3}{f^2} = -\frac{F_{12}}{ab} + \frac{F_{t3}}{c}. \tag{108}$$

From (105) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} dH &= \frac{dH_\phi}{dt} dt\wedge\phi^1\wedge\phi^2\wedge\phi^3 + \sum_{i=1}^{3}\frac{dH_i}{dt} dt\wedge\phi^i\wedge\omega^i + 2\sum_{i=1}^{3} H_i\, vol_B \\ &+ (H_\phi - H_1 + H_2 + H_3)\phi^2\wedge\phi^3\wedge\omega^1 + (H_\phi + H_1 - H_2 + H_3)\phi^3\wedge\phi^1\wedge\omega^2 \\ &+ (H_\phi + H_1 + H_2 - H_3)\phi^1\wedge\phi^2\wedge\omega^3\,, \end{aligned} \tag{109}$$

Using (90) and (104) we find that the solutions are classified into three types:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{(a)}\quad F = d(F_1\phi^1)\,,\quad H = F_1\phi^1\wedge F\,, \\ &\qquad \frac{dF_1}{dt} = aF_1\left(\frac{2}{f^2} - \frac{1}{bc}\right)\,,\quad \frac{d\Phi}{dt} = -\frac{F_1^2}{a}\left(\frac{2}{f^2} - \frac{1}{bc}\right)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{110}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{(b)}\quad F = d(F_2\phi^2)\,,\quad H = F_2\phi^2\wedge F\,, \\ &\qquad \frac{dF_2}{dt} = bF_2\left(\frac{2}{f^2} - \frac{1}{ac}\right)\,,\quad \frac{d\Phi}{dt} = -\frac{F_2^2}{b}\left(\frac{2}{f^2} - \frac{1}{ac}\right)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{111}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{(c)}\quad F = d(F_3\phi^3)\,,\quad H = F_3\phi^3\wedge F\,, \\ &\qquad \frac{dF_3}{dt} = cF_3\left(\frac{2}{f^2} - \frac{1}{ab}\right)\,,\quad \frac{d\Phi}{dt} = -\frac{F_3^2}{c}\left(\frac{2}{f^2} - \frac{1}{ab}\right)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{112}$$

Then, for case (a), the $Spin(7)$ with torsion equation (87) reduces to the following differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{da}{dt} &= -\frac{a^2 - (b-c)^2 - F_1^2}{2bc} + \frac{a^2 - F_1^2}{f^2}\quad, \\ \frac{db}{dt} &= -\frac{b^2 - (c-a)^2 - F_1^2}{2ac} + \frac{b^2}{f^2}\quad, \\ \frac{dc}{dt} &= -\frac{c^2 - (a-b)^2 - F_1^2}{2ab} + \frac{c^2}{f^2}\quad, \\ \frac{df}{dt} &= -\frac{a+b+c}{2f} - \frac{F_1^2}{2af}\quad, \end{aligned} \tag{113}$$

and (b) and (c) are given by cyclic permutations of a, b, c.

Finally, we briefly discuss the solutions to (103) and the $Spin(7)$ with torsion equations given by (113). A more detail about the solutions will be reported elsewhere. The regular condition to the solutions requires the following boundary conditions at $t = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{(I)}\quad a(0) = b(0) = c(0) = 0,\quad f(0)\neq 0, \\ &\qquad |a'(0)| = |b'(0)| = |c'(0)| = \frac{1}{2},\quad f'(0) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{114}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{(II)}\quad a(0) = 0,\quad b(0) = -c(0),\quad f(0)\neq 0, \\ &\qquad |a'(0)| = 2,\quad b'(0) = c'(0),\quad f'(0) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{115}$$

The equation (103) gives Ricci-flat $Spin(7)$ holonomy metrics. Some explicit solutions satisfying the boundary conditions (I)(II) are constructed in [10][11][13][14] with the help of numerical calculations, and further the existence of the regular solutions was analytically proved [28]. The $Spin(7)$ with torsion case (113) is slightly different from the Ricci-flat case. We find that case (II) admits no solution with non-zero F_1, while case (I) admits the following series solution around $t = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} a(t) &= -\frac{t}{2} + a_3 t^3 + a_5 t^5 + \cdots \ , \\ b(t) &= -\frac{t}{2} + b_3 t^3 + b_5 t^5 + \cdots \ , \\ c(t) &= -\frac{t}{2} + c_3 t^3 + c_5 t^5 + \cdots \ , \\ f(t) &= f_0 + \frac{3}{8f_0} t^2 + f_4 t^4 + \cdots \ , \\ F_1(t) &= h_2 t^2 + h_4 t^4 + \cdots \ . \end{aligned} \tag{116}$$

Here, the series have four independent parameters, $\{a_3, b_3, c_3, f_0, h_2\}$ with one constraint $a_3 + b_3 + c_3 = 2h_2^2 + 1/(4f_0^2)$, and higher coefficients are determined by these parameters. The series (116) can be extended to an ALC solution of (110) and (113) when the parameters are restricted to $b_3 = c_3$, and hence $b(t) = c(t)$ is satisfied for all t. Specifically, the explicit ALC solution with two parameters ℓ, k is given by

$$\begin{aligned} a(r) &= -\frac{\ell(r-\ell)\sqrt{(r-3\ell)(r+\ell)}}{(1+k^2\ell^2)(r-\ell)^2 - 4k^2\ell^4}, \\ b(r) &= c(r) = -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(r-3\ell)(r+\ell)}, \\ f(r) &= \sqrt{\frac{r^2-\ell^2}{2}} \end{aligned} \tag{117}$$

together with

$$F_1(r) = \frac{k\ell^2(r-3\ell)(r+\ell)}{(1+k^2\ell^2)(r-\ell)^2 - 4k^2\ell^4}. \tag{118}$$

Here, we used a radial coordinate r ($r \geq 3\ell$) defined by $dt = (r-\ell)dr/\sqrt{(r-3\ell)(r+\ell)}$.

V. CONCLUSION

We have derived G_2T equations in Abelian heterotic supergravity theory. When a G_2 manifold is locally given by $\boldsymbol{R}_+ \times S^3 \times S^3$, the G_2T equations are reduced to ordinary differential equations(44)–(51). A numerical analysis of these equations shows that a global solution doesn't exist for the general six radial functions $a_i(t), b_i(t)\,(i = 1, \cdots, 3)$ and thus we study the reduced case, $a_1(t) =$

$a_2(t)$ and $b_1(t) = b_2(t)$. The Abelian heterotic solutions (g, H, φ, F) are obtained from (72)–(75) by using Ricci-flat G_2 holonomy metrics. To construct regular solutions of the reduced G_2T equations, we have investigated S^3-bolt solutions and $T^{1,1}$-bolt solutions by numerical analysis. These solutions are shown graphically in figures 1 and 2. The formulas (72)–(75) generate only S^3-bolt solutions. A problem of finding analytic expressions for $T^{1,1}$-bolt solutions remains as a future work. We have also derived $Spin(7)$ with torsion equations based on 3-Sasakian manifolds. The explicit ALC solution to Abelian heterotic supergravity theory has been obtained from these equations. It is of great interest to study general solutions, which would shed new light on G_2 or $Spin(7)$ with torsion geometry.

---

[1] J.P.Gauntlett, D.Martelli, S.Pakis and D.Waldram, "G-structures and wrapped NS5-branes", Comm.Math.Phys. **247** (2004) 421–445. [arXiv:hep-th/0205050]

[2] A.Brandhuber, J.Gomis, S.S.Gubser and S.Gukov, "Gauge theory at large N and new G_2 holonomy metrics", Nucl.Phys.B**611** (2001) 179–204. [arXiv:hep-th/0106034]

[3] J.P.Gauntlett, D.Martelli and D.Waldram, "Superstrings with intrinsic torsion", Phys.Rev.D**69** (2004) 086002. [arXiv:hep-th/0302158]

[4] J.P.Gauntlett, N.Kim, D.Martelli and D.Waldram, "Fivebranes wrapped on SLAG three-cycles and related geometry", JHEP **0111** (2001) 018. [arXiv:hep-th/0110034]

[5] P.Ivanov and S.Ivanov, "SU(3)-instantons and G_2,Spin(7)-heterotic string solitons", Comm.Math.Phys. **259** (2005) 79–102. [arXiv:math/0312094[math-DG]]

[6] S.Ivanov, "Heterotic supersymmetry, anormaly cancellation and equations of motion", Phys.Lett.B**685** (2010) 190–196. [arXiv:0908.2927[hep-th]]

[7] M.Fernández and A.Gray, "Riemannian manifolds with structure group G_2", Ann.Mat.Pura Appl.(IV) **32** (1982) 19–45.

[8] R.L.Bryant and S.Salamon, "On the construction of some complete metrics with exceptional holonomy", Duke Math.J. **58** (1989) 829.

[9] G.W.Gibbons, D.N.Page and C.N.Pope, "Einstein metrics on S^3,$\mathbb{R}^3$ and $\mathbb{R}^4$ bundles", Commun.Math.Phys.**127** (1990) 529.

[10] M.Cvetič, G.W.Gibbons, H.Lü and C.N.Pope, "Cohomogeneity one manifold of Spin(7) and G_2 holonomy", Phys.Rev.D**65** (2002) 106004. [arXiv:hep-th/0108245]

[11] M.Cvetič, G.W.Gibbons, H.Lü and C.N.Pope, "Orientifold and slumps in G_2 and Spin(7) metrics", Annals Phys. **310** (2004) 265–301. [arXiv:hep-th/0111096]

[12] M.Fernández, "A classification of Riemannian manifolds with structure group Spin(7)", Ann.Mat.Pura Appl.(IV) **1433** (1986) 101–122.

[13] M.Cvetič, G.W.Gibbons, H.Lü and C.N.Pope, "New complete non-compact Spin(7) manifolds", Nucl.Phys.B**620** (2002) 29–54. [arXiv:hep-th/0103155]

[14] M.Cvetič, G.W.Gibbons, H.Lü and C.N.Pope, "New cohomogeneity one metrics with Spin(7) holonomy", J.Geom.Phys. **49** (2004) 350–365. [arXiv:math/0105119[math-DG]]

[15] H.Kanno and Y.Yasui, "On Spin(7) holonomy metric based on $SU(3)/U(1)$", J.Geom.Phys. **43** (2002) 293–309. [arXiv:hep-th/0108226]

[16] H.Kanno and Y.Yasui, "On Spin(7) holonomy metric based on $SU(3)/U(1)$ II", J.Geom.Phys. 43 (2002) 310–326. [arXiv:hep-th/0111198]

[17] D.Martelli and J.Sparks, "Non-Kahler heterotic rotations", Adv.Theor.Math.Phys. **15** (2011) 131–174. [arXiv:1010.4031[hep-th]]

[18] D.D.Joyce, "Compact Manifold with Special Holonomy", Oxford University Press 2000.

[19] T.Friedrich and S.Ivanov, "Parallel spinors and connections with skew-symmetic torsion in string theory", Asian J.Math. **6** (2002) 303–336. [arXiv:math/0102142[math-DG]]

[20] M.Fernández, S.Ivanov, L.Ugrate and R.Villacampa, "Compact supersymmetric solutions of the heterotic equations of motion in dimension 7 and 8", Adv.Theor.Math.Phys. **15** (2011) 245–284. [arXiv:0806.4356[math-DG]]

[21] S.Karigiannis, "Deformations of G_2 and $Spin(7)$ structures on manifolds", Canadian J.Math. **57** (2005) 1012–1055. [arXiv:math/0301218[math-DG]]

[22] R.Cleyton, A.Swann, "Cohomogeneity-one G_2-structures", J.Geom.Phys. **44** (2002) 202–220. [arXiv:math/0111056[math-DG]]

[23] T.B.Madsen and S.Salamon, "Half-flat structures on $S^3 \times S^3$", Ann.Glob.Anal.Geom. **44** (2013) 369–390. [arXiv:1211.6845[math.DG]]

[24] L.Bedulli and L.Vezzoni, "The Ricci tensor of $SU(3)$-manifolds", J.Geom.Phys. **57** (2007) 1125–1146. [arXiv:math/0606786[math.DG]]

[25] Ya.V.Bazaikin, O.A.Bogoyavlenskaya, "Complete Riemannian G_2 holonomy meterics on deformations of cones over $S^3 \times S^3$", Mathematcheskie Zametki **93** (2013) 645–657. [arXiv:1301.6379[math-DG]]

[26] S.Ivanov, "Connections with torsion, parallel spinors and geometry of Spin(7) manifolds", Math.Res.Lett. **11** (2004) 171–186. [arXiv:math/0111216[math.DG]]

[27] C.Boyer and K.Galicki, "3-Sasakian Manifolds", Surveys in Differential Geometry: Essays on Einstein Manifolds, Int. Press, Boston, MA, (1999) 123–184.

[28] Ya. V. Bazaikin, "On the new examples of complete noncompact Spin(7)-holonomy metrics", Sib.Math.Zh. **48**:1 (2007) 11–32.